ITaP3 Practicable Strategies – Assessment for Impact - Teacher feedback to 

Improve Pupil Learning- (Phase 3)

**Desert Island Reading:** Education Endowment Foundation (2021) Teacher Feedback to Improve Pupil Learning Guidance Report. [Online]. Available at: EEF\_TeacherFeedbackToImproveLearning.pdf. Education Endowment Foundation (2021) Education Endowment Foundation Teaching and Learning Toolkit: Available at: https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learningtoolkit.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **4 goals for implementation**  **(ITTECF 6.3, 6.4, 6.5, 6.6, 6.7)** | ***Practicable strategies for ITAP 3 to support assessment for impact*** | | | |
| **Strategy (ITTECF)** | **Summary** | **Strategy outlined in:** | **Reading underpinning the strategy** |
| **Groundwork** – Lay the foundations for effective feedback. Sharing learning intentions     1. Clarifying, sharing and understanding learning intentions. 2. Eliciting evidence of learning intentions. | 1. Strengths and weaknesses discussion (6f) | share with pupils anonymised examples of other children’s work, without informing them which you think is high or low quality; discuss the strengths and weaknesses of the work and use this discussion to construct a rubric for successful work in that area. | Education Endowment Foundation (2021) Teacher Feedback to Improve Pupil Learning Guidance Report. | Wiliam, D (2018), Embedded Formative Assessment (Second Edition), Solution Tree Press, Chapter 3. |
| 1. Model work   (6k) | share excellent examples of previous pupils’ work; discuss with the class what makes this work high quality. You may wish to focus on particular elements of the model answers. | Education Endowment Foundation (2021) Teacher Feedback to Improve Pupil Learning Guidance Report | Wiliam, D (2018), Embedded Formative Assessment (Second Edition), Solution Tree Press, Chapter 3. |
| 1. What not to write (non-examples) (6k) | discuss with the class a list of ‘what not to write’. This could follow a presentation to the class of an incorrect pupil response to a task; these are sometimes referred to as ‘non-examples’ | Education Endowment Foundation (2021) Teacher Feedback to Improve Pupil Learning Guidance Report | Wiliam, D (2018), Embedded Formative Assessment (Second Edition), Solution Tree Press, Chapter 3. |
| **Foundations** – moving learning forward.    Providing feedback that moves learning forward. **When** to provide feedback and **what** to focus on. | 1. Task – Success criteria (pre-flight checklist) (6a) | Examine exemplars at different standards. Establish the success criteria, check for understanding, assess work against the criteria, initiate an improvement cycle | Teaching WalkThrus 2 p112 | Wiliam, D (2018), Embedded Formative Assessment (Second Edition), Solution Tree Press, p.145: As Wiliam has explained, ‘feedback should relate to the learning goals that the teacher has shared with the students’ |
| 1. Subject - Feedback for Action (6d) | Give feedback on the action they can improve. Bright spots – specifically what they are doing well. Precise next steps, feed forward and follow-up | The Teaching and Learning Playbook p145 | Elliott, V et al (2020), Feedback in Action: A review of practice in English schools, Department of Education, University of Oxford, Education Endowment Foundation. |
| 1. Self-regulation – keep it positive and specific (6j) | Frame feedback in language that is positive and encouraging. Tell students the things they are succeeding at they should continue to reinforce alongside the things they can do differently. Be specific but specify how the conclusion can be improved. e.g. not ‘write a better conclusion’ | Teaching WalkThrus –page 14 | Hattie, J and Timperley, H, (2007), The Power of Feedback, Review of Educational Research, 77 (1), 81–112. Kluger, A. N., & DeNisi, A. (1996). The effects of feedback interventions on performance: A historical review, a meta-analysis, and a preliminary feedback intervention theory. Psychological Bulletin, 119(2), 254–284. |
| **Building** – take into account how learners receive and use feedback information. What pupils do with it.    Activating learners with learning resources for one and another.  Activating learners as owners of their own learning. | 1. Detective activities (6f) | Make feedback into detective work. For example:  Rather than saying, “If you swap these two paragraphs around the story would be better”, you would say, “I think it would be better if two of these paragraphs were reversed. Find out which two you think I’m talking about”.’  Put dots in the margin where there may be errors and ask pupils to find and correct them (which could be further scaffolded for some students if they replace the dot with codes which identify the type of correction needed, such as ‘e’ for ‘include more evidence’).  Inform pupils that a certain number of their responses are correct but not informing them which ones; they then need to figure this out for themselves. These ‘detective’ activities require careful planning to ensure that they are tailored to pupils’ level of understanding. | Education Endowment Foundation (2021) Teacher Feedback to Improve Pupil Learning Guidance Report | Wiliam, D. (2017) Assessment, marking and feedback. In Hendrick, C. and McPherson, R. (Eds.) What Does This Look Like in the Classroom? Bridging the gap between research and practice. Woodbridge: John Catt. |
| 1. Class discussion activities (6o) | Providing opportunities for the class to collectively discuss the feedback provided may improve pupil use of feedback and their subsequent attainment. Teachers could, therefore, use a class discussion to explore, explain, and clarify feedback before pupils use it in their next activity | Education Endowment Foundation (2021) Teacher Feedback to Improve Pupil Learning Guidance Report | Ajogbeje OJ, and Alonge MF. (2012). Effect of Feedback and Remediation on Students’ Achievement in Junior Secondary School Mathematics International Education Studies, 5(5), pp.153–162. p.160. This study was rated by M Newman et al 2021 (in press) to have a serious risk of bias |
| 1. Three questions (6g) | The teacher reads each student’s work placing a numbered circle at a key point in the text. Underneath the pupil’s work, the teacher writes three questions, each one relating to the relevant numbered circles, and leaves space for the pupil’s response. The student responds to the three questions the teacher posed. The important feature of this technique is that no matter how bad or good the student’s work is, everyone has the same amount of work to do. | Education Endowment Foundation (2021) Teacher Feedback to Improve Pupil Learning Guidance Report | Wiliam, D (2018), Embedded Formative Assessment (Second Edition), Solution Tree Press, Chapter 5. |
| **Opportunity cost –** assessment and the smart use of time. | 1. Live marking - pen in hand (6h) (6q) | Mark up work as you circulate. Use a coding system to affirm answers. Cue the student to revise answers using minimal verbal intervention (Name the error, ask them to fix it, tell them you’ll follow up) OR identify, keep it brief, explain, follow-up. Know the codes, teach the codes and be selective – too many codes reduce the impact. | Get Better Faster – page  The Teaching and Learning Playbook  The Teaching and Learning Playbook page 149 | Education Endowment Foundation (2021) Teacher Feedback to Improve Pupil Learning Guidance Report |

**Reading list available on Blackboard.**

**Coaching questions for the placement mentor to help identify the key strategies.**

* Did the pupils have a strong enough understanding of the knowledge, skills and concepts to begin with, before feedback was given? (foundation)
* Did I effectively assess my pupils’ understanding to target my feedback at their specific learning gaps? (foundation)
* When exactly should I be providing feedback to pupils? (timed and specific)
* What should my feedback focus on? (timed and specific)
* How can I ensure I provide feedback whilst considering the pupil’s response?
* How can I ensure that Amelia has the time and opportunity to use the feedback offered?
* How can I offer purposeful written feedback? (opportunity cost)
* What alternative approaches – such as live marking or marking codes could be more efficient? (opportunity cost?)
* Is my whole-class feedback moving learning forward? (foundations)
* How could I improve my whole-class feedback to have a better chance of my pupils making progress? (foundations)